

## Talking about space-time 02

**deddek:** opposite thinking has got a purpose [HTQU](#)  
[6th December 2018 6:49:01](#)

Mr. Hnědkovský ....I will analyze "part past part" that gigantic phantasmagoric, how you  
has that my HTQU entitled, and name so that way it will be understood by busman.

### 01-

Pundits talk of scenario before Bang but me as well. They paint it as **Bla-bla "a"**. I paint it as **bla-bla "b"** but it is not as far so essential. And even it isn't as far as so essential interests whether Reality before Bang is already tested scientifically or not, then it is not essential **to soiling your ridicule** ! about it, that my scenario and their one is phantasmagoric, if scenario is presented by more physicists and among them me too.

### 02-

In after post-Big-Bang the Universe, since Bang as far as today, GTR rules and develops ( perhaps, that yet GTR in initial plasma didn't, operate, I will not to be about it dispute ), but we know (physicists know ) as though 12- 13 billions of years GTR **is curving** time space around very mass objects. If universe "can" distort time space, and physicists claim it, then dimensions 3+1 ( which you cannot infirm ), you cannot also infirm nor statement about it, that if there is possibility to curve time space "around star", then generally curvature, in itself, is IN PRINCIPLE *possible* to do so too even without mass objects.It isn't such gigantic phantasmagoric rambling ( which you continue to blame me from rambling anything what I say).

Simply to put if it is possible to curve dimensions "somewhere", see physicists, then it is possible to curve them anywhere else and otherwise and anywhere and whenever. For it I don't need have to mathematical skills, it is enough to possess logical skills. Herewith I am performing on step No.2 selfdefence, **having "admissible" from science, from physicists and naturally from the Nature itself to assume, that to "distort" dimensions of quantities "Length" and "Time" is legal , purposeful, real and spontaneous.** So that I distort, more and further as far as I will come to the process of wave-agglomeration (packing of waves if you want). I'm latter soiled second part of scenario about phantasmagoric, i.e.second part of your spitting : it is possible to distort time space even without mass ! And if it is possible to distort it, then it is impossible to order, that the Universe curves dimensions even at the level of the Planck's scales...there's a vacuum is pustule, frothily, there time isn't space or flat, in Euclidean terms, it is crooked...namely again not to say it only I. I will find for you tens of verifications, where physical literature writes about it.

**03-** What about other matter can be that than of boiling, frothing of vacuum ?? Hah, nothing else but just those dimensions are wrapping. It'd guess and chalet chief shepherd on Beskyd's braes ( but Hnědkovský not ) ; thus point 04- isn't phantasmagoric, if really reality of the Universe is such of that kind, as though on small scales time space dimensions are crooking in so far, as far as will produce wave parcel- geon- whirl-like cluster. Even ,, that kind of curvatures produce string theorists. (\* picture to be down below the article\*) Then already will be but just a question , "what is it", what physically entails the term wave- glomerulus

nest of waves from dimensions "x" a "t" and (?!), what is the meaning of the term string, veered to the ringlet, etc., **only Mr. Navratil in return declared - no science and physicists - as though it is mass element.** At this point I'm alone without any support in the world and it could be that your gigantic phantasmagoric... which indeed would didn't weigh out very well - educated world's physicist say ,if to be informed about it. Hnědkovský that slander indeed unloaded on return bluffly immediately, neither would handed up ever so little counterargument ( though mathematically, or philosophically, or and otherwise ).

#### 04-

Lubob , have you already sometimes seen that picture with that globe or other of the very massive balls around them theoretists in physics like to paint that common net of sunken time space ?, , ( picture is below of the page ) and would you be able to subaudi that net, if it were painted in all round the ball ?, then you would certainly mulled as possible that you could of how that the outer time space incurved into the net not to come inside of "that net=grating system of Euclidean dimensions into the ball... what is there in the ball ?. Think about it, that physicists really paint that grating net only as dimple- hollowness in net from one's plane, ..., Should of those nets were much much more in presence and each of them with one hollowness, then time space would be but only outside of the ball. Does as a matter of fact time space seem to be also inside of the ball? ?? Is there another kind of the time space there ??????????.. I will let it open for you, but already some years ago I'm thereat took notice of Ptof. Stuchlik from Opava how he has been spoken about "nesting diagrams" (!) , bla- bla, so to me that vocable „nesting“ seemed interesting „So I borrowed it. How ?

#### 05-

In the universe there is time space. Primarily time space represents "Euclidean plane three-dimensional grid", which is infinite ( it doesn't matter whether Universe is or isn't finite ), that grid we can account as 3+1D infinite. But according to GTR this grid as though to be locally crooked ( around stars ) ,so that as though the Universe would be together with its billions of stars with their incurvated grids **nested !!!** into that flat Euclidean grid against the background. So that now we have got two grids, two time space states. In the flat 3+3D state of time space, then in flat unflared grid there cannot exist matter there, otherwise that grating would had to be crooked locally around star or very heavy body. So that one grid- screen is 3+3D plane and alternative grid (n+n)D is **N E S T E D** into that flat one. Crooked grating ( crooked states of space-time of n- dimensions ) "swim" in that plane grid. It is to be elegant explication for both global state of Universe and as well as for micro-universe on the Planck' s scales, where "vacuum boils, birth is given to the pairs of particles there", and so on

Mr. Hnědkovský, this is not phantasmagoric ! No, perhaps to your brain and mind it is so , but for me that is an elegant vision, that the **Universe "has" primary objective grid- net one of plane 3+3D dimensions and into those grating crooked states of dimensions are "submerged- nested" i. e. (dimensions) in paucity as fields, in higher of the number as wave-parcels .** So that physical field represents already "other" time space net- web than primary objective one. Then also plasm is other configuration of time space net than primary objective one, intermediary particles are "undulations" of the net that "swim, that is nested into "primary objective flat net. Ye also electromagnetic waves, gravity waves, all interactive manifestations and so on ( they are not carried by ether , but "carried by" subgrade screen

3+3D, into which they are nested- submerged )... it is from my point of view fascinating vision, that we have (universe has them ) countless of crooked time spaces of different configurations that one another nests- swims each other. so that : at this point 06-you didn't vindicate gigantic phantasmagoric, because nobody in the world meanwhile said not that, only you...but as though that this is on the contrary elegant thought will show ( or no ) the future. But if this will not to be true, that guy will not certainly express it like you so very sneeringly.

**06-** If it is possible somehow (somehow entails mathematically and unmathematically) to evidence, evaluate, resolve upon that, that the „ contemporary universe" includes countless numbers of **crooked** spatio - temporal states that are „ nesting- swimming" in each other inside of themselves, then that is an advances in conception of Existence. How does it put uproot, wherewith is it possible to proof that? Physicists already hinted, even proven, that the „ torsion of any dimension of time space " is possible and real. Physicists already ourselves foretell, that the „ boiling vacuum" is state of crooked dimensions. Already physicists ourselves prove, that the universe expands, and as though they say „ afreshly and from Nothing" which is obviously nonsense. They reputedly on finite abscissae accumulate new points (physicists don't know, whether or not local state of universe is finite or not, thus whether straight lines in it are final or infinite) whether on final straight lines there is giving birth to new points thereby time space expands. It's their imperfection, not mine. They claim, that the universe arose in singularity and as though all of existence arose suddenly as infinite, (and by this there is infinite expansion), but only in singularity plasma „ piled up", i. e. all matter that we have here from the beginning.

It's already several phantasmagorics gathered from scientists: as though universe arose, that it is directly and instantly infinite, but plasm palms in some locality- singularity after Bang, that in the infinite dimensions new cubic articles „ give birth to themselves" by that expansion, that in the galaxies time space doesn't expand but only outside them ...plus as though GTR in plasm is in a abeyance and as though GTR exerts itself in unhooked time space only when there are „ wens = stars plus galaxies, and so on. If these „nonfantasmagoric physicists claim", then how unlikely grande- contradictory my phantasmagoric can be from theirs, that the universe not expands, but unpacks instead??? (!!!) I support my thought scientifically very same way, that the GTR talks of wrapping of dimensions. if universe is on global spatio - temporal grid crooked ( because gravitation is omnipresent), it's plain enough to assert and meaningfully also, that the crooked time space is u n p a c k i n g itself instead to be axially expanding, see Hubble's law. It's in so far simple purposeful statement, that even catechizer should understand it. I cannot understand why it should be such „ gigantic phantasmagoric" (?) Hubble's law isn't truly verificated, until it is discussed of „ span" today's situation 13,7 billions of years from Bang backward to the past as far as 380 000 years after the Bang – in this span can torsion time space be in so far tiny, that by expansion can be observed as linear. In the unshot here is that contrariety to the red shift plus question, whether that shift means, or not, slew global cosmic time space, then its torsion and that have to stand good, if gravitation is infinite. Higher torsion, I think it so, will be already observable since 380 000 years backwards in direction to Bang. There already universe curves itself across entrance highly, and there „ conspicuously" unpacks and Hubble's law is out of validity.. To proof such like things I can't, of course, but nor physicists don't disprove that, so that where's that „ phantasmagoric" gone? Why should had to be phantasmagoric vision, that the time space to be by sizes 380 000 years after the Big- Bang already flat, almost flat and its torsion – global one– ( once ) will give high evidence in direction to Bang. Plasma definitely will not have to

have „ not crooked state" of time space. And that all of a sudden is coming again on scene my vision about it, that the „ various torsion" of time space are SWIMMING MUTUALLY in themselves ... why not ?..?

So that: where's illogical variance, that even in plasm „ is Euclidean flat state time space, as foundation screen- web" within that grotesquely crooked state of time space „ swims", which is that potage = plasma.

**deddek:** opposite thinking has got a purpose [HTQU](#) My reaction by using of the blue text on speech of LUBOB

**lubob** mama, be brave, be brave, don't weep at my grave

6th December 2018 8:46:33

*"vacuum boils, there pairs of particles originate .... vacuum is almost everywhere in universe, intergalactic space is almost empty.*

yes... that is a truth nevertheless physicists claim, that in this vacuum, in intergalactic space, is reputedly 70% sort of dark energy, with which they don't know counsel; again it prepotently supports my HTQU ( even everything, chat was discovered by cosmologists and astrophysicists during 30 years supports my HTQU, i. e. supports HTQU i. e. not cotradicts it ) : matter energy oves a birth to itself in universe on Planck´s scales ( which were to be in existence after Bang, and then at the time of relict radiation 380 000 years after Bang, and at the time when stars plus galaxies were born and today as well ... , in every historical time there are scales of minor intervals app.  $10^{-33}$ m and there's a time space crooked !!! frothy !!! boiling !!!, there wave-parcels and fields are giving birth to themselves. After Bang in plasm „for the first time“ there were born wave-parcels as quarks, gluons, then electrons, photons, and They were one another interconnected to the atoms, and so on and...and.. at that already „at that time“ even that (plasm) started u n p a c k i n g but no all the torsions of plasm: already done particles = wave-parcels plus from them already completed wens = atoms, as far back as did NOT unpacked (in plasm),but on the contrary further they pelletizinged ; to the more difficult atoms plus molecules. Therefore after Bang termination i.e. 380 000 years after Bang.; Universe enlarged itself by mechanism of UNPACKING-UNWRAPPING in so far, that photons could fly away, - how physicists say – SPACE-TIME became for photons transparent - how Kulhánek says....but he doesn't say to us „where“ they flied away. they namely were „on cover“ of whole plasmatic existence behind that skin was nothing. ( was/wasn't ???).

Plasmatic state has exist since time  $t=0$  to the  $t=380\ 000$  years after the Bang namely this wasa limit and boundary of whole Universe, so that "where" did photons fly away from plasm ? This one Mr. Kulhanek did not explain.


Indeed do there pairs of particles engender there? Is there any observable evidence for it?

. as though there birth to pair sof particles is given to I did say last time -...like "spank" of instance you took it immediately at once and swoop. Now I'll specify: I don't know whether only pairs of elements, perhaps all elements give birth to in today's vacuum, otherwise would there wasn't that dark energy,was it (?) About dark energy I think rather differently : Dark


energy won't be in practice *element times c squared*, but in practice state, present and persistent state of "crooked time space which is boiling, curving and frothing", which already is in state of mass anyway without necessity of presence of other kinds of elementary mass particles, neither would have to be in form of some element, for energy be enough only crooked state of time space- dimensions. EVERY CROOKED STATE OF DIMENSIONS REPRESENTS MATTER=ENERGY = and this my HTQU. Physicists want for dark energy to find explication, grope one's way- mad- don't know, whereof is, what tachyons, entities it can be, and they are perhaps not "entities" at all but only that "boiling time space" on the Planck's scale. „Boiling“ state entails even that thing, that there do not need to be presented any concrete wave-parcels at all like clones= unchanging shapes, but only all the time curving =contortive dimensions...it is not equal situation like of that after Bang in primary plasm: there in primary plasm boiling time space was also, but wave-parcels were originated, produced = clones of torsion = these curvatures became frozen up-like clones in locality = in wave-parcels there was no change in configuration of their way of curvature. They just floated round in the stormy foam torsion of dimensions...After that then remaining plasm not expands- but unpacks which is fundamental difference in comparison with expansion or inflation, but on the contrary "clones"=geony=wavw-parcels don't expand, They on the contrary agglutinate to the wens = atoms, and so on then stars plus galaxies...and so on. This is my vision. Scenario of our universe is as follows : time space is partly unpacking and partly packing, both of processes simultaneously and even I would say, that the all crooked states of time space are "swimming", i. e. they are nested into super- primary objective screen ĉp 3+3D flat stally uncrooked- straight ( which ruled Universe before Bang ) and rules even after Bang like that screen, but in addition already in it all crooked states of dimensions float.....otherwise couldn't ( without such of explication ) be Sun in the modelling net, that beats down, and Sun and Earth in the net push- roll and swim in the hollowness that students of astrophysics draw. This is but just one net, rough in next and next ones around that Sun and you will come to astonishment, that the Sun swims in time space which is not penetrating inside the Sun itself and Sun is placed into some separated "no spatio-temporal position" isolated from outer one. That no-spatio-temporal strange time space inside of the Sun is simply nothing else but that "primary objective screen" 3+3D flat Euclidean one in which, whereof after Bang swim all crooked states of mass namely stars, galaxies, and fields, this everything "swims" in that primary objective plane screen. Even that global unwrapping time space since origin of relict radiation to us, is also yet a little bit crooked, it also swims in absolutely straight 3+3 screen of dimensions

How quickly do those particles swell? Shall I image it as if materializes Nothing (\*)  
 Touching in interpretation from other date is below.


When I say it to you, (which I already did already one hundreds times ) so you spit that is gigantic phantasmagoric. Ask physicists, They will say you other (theirs) gigantic phantasmagoric, but that one will be that "correct=genuine=definitive" and you will bow down, because it has been said by standard physicists, no layman whom you hate, because he has got even stronger counterarguments than those of yours.



## Pootáčení soustav



v zakřiveném grav. poli



(\*) Touching in interpretation to the 07 →

Why should have Hubble truth and asserts, that there is no other expansion than linear ??? Ullmann though says, that by observing until bigness of  $10^{-20}$ m time space is smooth, which corresponds with Hubble who by observing to younger sizes of universe, has in view „in opera - glass" straight line in direction to Bang until distance of 380 000 years since Bang- relict state of time space , but already in distance smaller till those of 380 000 years space-time begins hot distorts in direction to the Bang = to the primary plasm ...

- do - curving in microsystem !! First smoothness, ( to the  $10^{-20}$  m) then curving. ( to the  $10^{-40}$  m ).At that today's vacuum ( all around us on pavement, near prefab ) already at the level of  $10^{-35}$ m time space begins to distort to the quantum foam. ...and that foam nothing else but that inquired dark energy. WHY???????????????, indeed because, that every state of „ torsion of space-time" is mass-making thus that foam of dimensions on the sub-Planck's level  $10^{-40}$  m is obviously matter-energy. Think about it, Mr. Hnědkovský, efore you say „ **it is idiocy, it is gigantic phantasmagoric**".

JN, 07.12.2018 + 19.09.2019