A brief story about two quantity universe

Translation by the PC interpreter is not credible for special language

(My hypothesis is not perfect, it is just an idea.)

My contribution into science and physics is as follows: Could the matter (and entire universe) be implemented or built from even "more basic" parameters, i.e. of the really basic variables quantities?

Can it be???

For more than 40 years there is a string theory running in physical science which proclaims the idea that elementary particles are just "states of vibrations" of the strings and for the purpose of changing these states there is need to involve even more dimensions. Physicists during 60 years of observation and their theoretical work are amazed (everything is made in a language of mathematics) of the knowledge that matter somehow behaves as both particle and wave on the quantum level...(and even it does not matter of "what" is undulating)

They pushed, though reluctant to admit that "storyline - change in time - to use something" is the nucleus of matter itself. I repeat: "the story"! nucleus is an artifact of the mass - the string vibrates and creates modes and the modes are mass. And again he says that "from what the string is"? Why do in science is that there is a cumbersome "involuntary" granting that nature pushes us to explain the matter as a "state", not as a "phenomenon artifact-things?" ... And turn again? Probably because the matter at its fundamental level recognizability will be "something other" than we admit. Science examines the structure of matter. The structure is always dependent on the geometry of design and geometry is a mathematical realization of the provisions, if any, we have "in terms of the mathematics substituting" that is, if we do not give Space available for those mathematics dimension values.

My hypoth	esis wants t	to believe t	hat matter is	"made of	space-time"	changes its	s mutual	status
and relatior	iships of qu	antities of	basic dimens	sions ie le	ength and tin	ne.		

.....

I think that God did not create the universe, but even that will be the opposite! (?) This means that the universe is the universe God made the evolutionary transformation of self, God and universe models à Laws (Basic Law-the rule of rotation symmetry with asymmetry), thus producing more complex matter (man - DNA. + + ... note ...?) If the mass production of the complexity of the structure will continue developmentally, then somewhere in the infinity-human DNA is so complex that he becomes the God-man so God is born in us ... universe of God produces in us ... So that God is / was in the beginning "at zero" and will end "at infinity" ... and is "in the middle of everything" because God is the universe itself ((but it is not God what the people that it is falsified))

.....

Excerpt from my discussions with Mr. Streit

February 9, 2006, 11:37 Streit wrote:

But I have really understood before. In other formulations that nevylepšíš. You write: "Yet somehow when I" had "to speculate on the sequence of states up to the start-Začátkoviči ..". SEQUENCE word do not be mad at me expresses time course, something that was once a little later. Also you say that time began to unfold. Do you use the past tense, and I ask, when did "he" is cut into the time? Before he began, "cut into", it would be a symmetry of all the possibilities, that could begin to unfold anytime. Why 14 billion years ago? What causes led to the emergence of temporal asymmetry? This phase began to change for no reason? And there may be a cause-effect relationship without time? Do not say you understand. To you and all those who see in the Big Bang beginning of time, do not understand their own hypotheses, and bivalve and orbiting around a bush.

Mr.Navratil responds:

Word sequence, Dusan, it may not always reflect the timing of such rich and lazy, lazy, and two rich, lazy, and three rich men, rich men and four lazy ... etc. Well, yes, that almost all non-numeric sequence somehow related to the time, because any change in the flow of time is always "fit". Yes, I say (not saying) that the time it began to unfold in the Bang - that disclosure statement, however, can only say mass, because she is due to start the flow of time and then cuts of the flow of time intervals on the time dimension is the work of the law of rotation symmetry with asymmetries and thus flow of time is related to the change in the unit interval is related to the standard of the time dimension, which "has" given mass moving through space. A movement in the universe $\mathbf{v} < \mathbf{c}$ is related to the size and weight ... thus the flow-rate cuts of the time intervals associated with a given observer. Yes, she changes in the sequence of which I speak is related to the flow of time tempo changes - change cuts of intervals on the time dimension of the progressive changes neb observer assesses "Country" (man on Earth), and he was a sequence of (changes in the time - space and materials, and laws) sees the tracks of a certain rank, position and time so that its sequence changes in a person - the country must be assessed over time. Therefore, from a position of physical man (having parameters to anything asymmetric) to evaluate changes in the sequence "in time", which had for the observer "their rate cuts of intervals" and such of the standard rate on the sequence being considered. So I can talk about ski jumping sequence aio that when the cuts of intervals on the time dimension changes from a unit interval on the non-unique (this is what the observer) and that I can only compare with the speed of light as the only possible "fixed point" in space.

Other parameters are the reference: When changing the state of matter, changing speed, changing location, location, location varies with the age-, weight changes, it changes the pace of unwinding time and changing the pace of expansion of space - and all this must be strict geometry-mathematical (relativistic / nonrelativistic) dependence. What? Furthermore, you say: "Before we started the" cut into "(time), it would be a symmetry of all the possibilities, that could begin to unfold anytime. Why 14 billion years ago? "Yes, the bang could "begin to run" at any time and I began to run at any time, because the crash was in a unit interval of the state ie the dimension of time was great: $\infty \equiv 1 \equiv 0$ and dimension itself was great: $\infty \equiv 1 \equiv 0$ So you could bang any time clash and so třesknul. (There are not bang explosion, but only 'through a change " himself a small, quiet yourself, and yourself a simple). The point is that we judge-mass, in a state of the Bang and the masswe already have our certain parameters in the universe (the sequence of generated states) and therefore appears to us (we judge) many "things" as a non-unique and So specific, local.

(Location is or is not "non-unique status" for the global? Yet we humans solve in their theories of symmetry as a local artificial condition ...). Why flow began - unwinding time ((for us terrestrial observer)) just before 14.24, billions of years? ... Because, for an observer on the photon flux - started unwinding time ... (?) Strange answer that? I said, but most strangeness exactly "why" we earthly observers judge perceived age of the universe, "so-and-so" as to why we perceive the universe terrestrial observers weight "so-and-so" as to why we perceive the mass of the proton "so-and- and "why we perceive the speed of light" so-and-so "why we see all the physical parameters and the fact" concrete "" so-and-so "in particular because we ourselves are asymmetrically to the specific space to the unit state variables. And that started the flow of time for the Earth from 14.24 billion years, only the number resulting from the choice of standard units of earthlings.

You say: "What causes led to the emergence of temporal asymmetry?" Yeah, I do not know. Only, I believe that the universe can not exist without laws, there can be only as "artifact" "A" (which is Velveličina,-the split into two variables: time and length and ... and you ... etc.) Space realized only variables and thus would not work there. I believe that some "necessary" because the universe can function (and exist) only if it is realized from the artifact + artifact rules changes. The rules for change-change artifact likely to be purely mathematicalgeometric. ! (That is to say that chemical and rules are a kind of conglomerate-přepiesm-rules of mathematics, groups of rules from mathematics, reducible to mathematics (Dusan, excuse me, "I a genius in the realm of idiots" I have a primitive communication skills, vocabulary, and so You have to guess ledascos). So to your last question: "This phase began to change for no reason? And there may be a cause-effect relationship without time?" answer the following: changes caused by "aging unit before the bang" at the Bang aging non-unique (for a fixed observer) is the very existence of the universe, which must have rules of their existence and therefore mainly dominated by the rule change. (symmetry in asymmetry). If that rule had to change, so the universe would simply not exist. But even those two states a) Presence versus b) the absence of "under law" symmetry (and asymmetry). ((= Lack of presence ...? 1 = 2 ??, Because $1 + 10^{5500} = 2 + 10^{5500}$)) ((***)) see picture bellow so that the universe existed just had to have a rule change and that "self" has decided to start unwinding any time no unit cut into intervals (standard appraisal elected) ..., and again I emphasize that the beginning of the flow of time "is visible" (by mass) only arose when parallel to the flow of matter and it had even occurred. There, on that what the observer does not observe the flow of time-aging, such as the observer is fixed (photon). So the "cause and effect" is again purely and simply "elements" in the sequence of changes that is ... state of flux state of mass x time x condition x location, size, etc. The state Bang occurre $\mathbf{v} < \mathbf{c}$ ((denominator is always greater than the numerator)). It could also occur $c^* > c$, right? ((Should always be greater than the numerator denominator)). Then it was a "tachyon universe" in which "flow time" was a "flow-length", the matter would be different, etc., and just for this kind of universe we have no vocabulary to describe it. Why Bang occurred after "our type of universe"? Well, if there was one other type of space, so we wondered what it it is horrible for the opposite space (that's what we have now). And even if we were in it (otherwise the universe ... which is not antivesmír!) Called variable length of time and call duration and time ... and it would be essentially the same universe as the local $\mathbf{v} < \mathbf{c}$ "with the choice of the flow of time" not "flow length". So let the universe elected $\mathbf{v} < \mathbf{c}$ or $\mathbf{c}^* > \mathbf{c}$, always be "the future observer" can "see" the same thing in reverse Guard (considered to be the length of the time and the length of time). So the implementation of the universe "into" what we have here is inevitable ... and borrowing, then it seems that God is responsible. No, only the "central" law of rotation symmetry artifacts (which generates a lot of other laws, rules) (a Largequantity artifact that generates the "cleavage" in many forms – wavecurved's = mass of elementary particles and the conglomerates.) As increasing mass conglomerates, thus

increasing and laws to them eg 7 minutes after the bang there was no law on hydration of lime Act or antibiotics that will react against the flu ...

Your last sentence was: "Do not say you understand. To you and all those who see in the Big Bang beginning of time, do not understand their own hypotheses, and bivalve and orbiting around a bush. "Well, I" number one stupid "their hypothesis understand enough

.....

Someone said the sentence: People are a byproduct of the universe. People can be more or less regarded as a form of a "waste".

My opinion: If the universe produces ... and produces, so the product is not considered to be state of spacetime and its changes, but the state of matter, "ready state" of matter. After the Big Bang produced hydrogen genesis. (Genesis is at-law rule "rotation symmetry with asymmetry" Since then, the hydrogen-product of change is still hydrogen, it is permanently "columns of spacetime foam" = hydrogen, and the universe it does not have "extra", it only >uses<. Space (as subsequently produces), the new combinatorial complexity of the matter, it can be arranged in sequence. the universe produces sulfuric acid (evolutionary changing symmetry with asymmetry) on the time scale of development, then she will remain forever acid such as it is, is to "clone", ie unchanging properties, composition and behavior. the universe produced fish, the fish is then (at that developmental time) on top of the pyramid of complexity of matter in the universe, at the time (!) is the most complex element of mass structures (at the time). What laws? parallel with the development of mass structure then the new phase of evolution, ie, "invisible" in the process of combining all w navyráběných to that point) of the Act and b) navyráběných mass structures to meet the conditions of such mass and laws that change occurs she symmetry (conservation law) in the asymmetry and "born" of which (from past products and interaction laws) a new state, new material and new (additional) Act and the new balance ... go fish in amphibian . But the other fish stay on longer as clones, without change. As the hydrogen remains since its inception only to be unalterable element - hydrogen is ever clone. Fish is a clone of eternity, but that changes in asymmetry creation in symmetry ie zesložiťování creation made from fish that amphibian lives on ... on top of the pyramid comes to the next stage ... the universe changes nakombinovaných structures and mass zákonových what " selects the "possibilities and constraints of the universe produces a new combination of other mass structures, complex, and again ... and will be re-product less and less ... old creations remain a clone ... new epithelium are still at the top of the tree and lead the next sentence: a. So I disagree with the fact that man is a "waste" of the universe. No, on the contrary man is the product of the universe and it is complex, it is a complex interconnection multikombinační other combinatorial structures of complex mass man is on top of the building complex matter ... and one he will clone to arrive at that time a new complex combination. And as always, the complex mass structure in the universe less and less, so the next "the DNA" will be even more difficult (heavier than the last) but still >easier sum< relative to 1052 kg of all matter, maybe it will be "embodied consciousness". .. ? ? ? Nascent ... omniscient, preparing to constituting God ... is in us, we're his base, "builder". (One hydrogen is light = 3 units, but the amount of hydrogen is 70% of cosmic matter. One sulfuric acid is a bit harder example 300 units, but the amount of sulfuric acid in the universe that is only 0.002%. One protein is even harder to 105 BC units, but the amount of protein is only 0.00000002% in the entire universe. One DNA is even more difficult to file a mass combination, but the amount of DNA

[&]quot;Stop-states" on the sequence evolution of the universe are "clones"


JN, 21.03.2012 Translated 01/2015

((***)) see picture bellow

Can theory of everything be an equation? Certainly not. The universe is not the equation. (The laws of conservation are not absolutely valid in the global scale, They are valid just in the local sections of the space-time and generally there is just one true principle in the universe. Principle of never ending alternation of the symmetry with asymmetry there. I named it as a principle of the hot potatoe).

$$10^{5500} = 10^{5500}$$
 symmetry
 $10^{5500} \neq 10^{5500} + 1$ asymmetry
 $10^{5500} = 10^{5500}$ symmetry
 $1 + 10^{5500} \neq 10^{5500}$ asymmetry
 $10^{5500} = 10^{5500}$ symmetry
 $10^{5500} \neq 10^{5500} + 1$ asymmetry


 $1 \rightarrow$ that is the hot potatoe and universal principle of the duties having to be made.



There is one unity in addition in the box (inside of the universe, not outside). Exactly the same statement is said by a stro-physicists if they introduce the principle of the baryonic asymmetry. There were more particles than anti-particles at the beginning of the universe. Symmetry is a constrained term and in such way has to be understood. Right symmetry in the reality doesn't exist only one unity in addition without certain position seems to be symmetrical because of its position exactly above of the equal sign.

Je Teorie všeho rovnice ? Ne,Vesmír není rovnice. (zákony zachování neplatí absolutně, pouze v lokálním výseku časoprostoru a ve vesmíru platí střídání symetrií s asymetriemi tj. princip horkého bramboru)

	1		
105500	=	105500	symetrie
10^{5500}	*	$10^{5500} + 1$	asymetrie
10 ⁵⁵⁰⁰	1 =	10 ⁵⁵⁰⁰	symetrie
1 + 105500	≠	10 ⁵⁵⁰⁰	asymetrie
10 ⁵⁵⁰⁰	1 =	10 ⁵⁵⁰⁰	symetrie
105500	≠	10 ⁵⁵⁰⁰ + 1	asymetrie



symetrie, ale umělá, vynucená, protože ta jednička navíc "je v krabici" (ve vesmíru) přesně to říkají astrofyzikové když říkají že po Třesku nastala baryonová asymetrie – bylo víc částic než antičástic → to je ono, to je ta asymetrie, to je ten horký brambor... kde se vzala ?, že ?