Is it a mistake or not? Whose mistake is it? Something is wrong. 01.06.2025

(CZ)

Newton a později také Einstein do rovnice ekvivalence F(a) = F(g) ..tedy do rovnice : „Křivý časoprostor = **G** . „hmotnost-hmota“ přidal gravitační konstantu **G** . Bohužel k číslu konstanty přidal i rozměry. **Bohužel je to špatně**. Protože to udělal jen a jen za účelem, aby mu „seděla“, tedy aby byla v papírové rovnici správná rozměrová rovnost. Vesmír sám do rovnice k té **G-konstantě** žádné rozměry nepřidal. (!) (!) Kdyby se fyzikové zamysleli, že rozměry ke gravitační konstantě v reál-vesmíru nepatří, zjistili by, že tu je problém, že něco nehraje…, musela by je napadnout myšlenka, že i hmota je sestrojena-postavena z dimenzí dvou veličin „Délka“ a „Čas“, tedy ; „Křivý časoprostor = **G** . „hmotnost-hmota“ . Jak ? To je a bude předmětem bádání. Teorie strun říká : struny jsou „z ničeho“, a ty se křiví, kroutí do geonů-klubíček a vibrační módy jsou pak stavy elementárních částic hmoty. Říká teorie strun. Ani tato myšlenka strunové teorie nebyla správně postavena, protože struny nejsou „z ničeho“ ale jsou právě z těch dimenzí 3+3D časoprostorových. Podstatou a pravdou realizace hmotových elementů je křivení, zabalení dimenzí času a délek do klubíček, do balíčků, do wavepacket →
←

(A)

Newton and later Einstein to the equation of equivalence F (a) = F (g) ..they to the equation: ||„Curved space-time = >>**G**<< **x** "Mass-**matter**"|| added the gravitational constant G. Unfortunately, he also added dimensions to the constant number. Unfortunately wrong. Because he did it only and only in order for it to "fit" him, so that there would be the correct dimensional equality in the paper equation. The universe itself did not add any dimensions to the **G**-constant equation. (!) If physicists **had a** thought that dimensions do not belong to the gravitational constant in the real universe, they would **have found** that there **was** a problem that something does not **fit well**…, they would have **to come to the idea** that matter is also constructed-built from dimensions of two quantities “Length ”and“ Time ” ; „Curved space-time = >>**G**<< **x** "Mass-mass". How ? This is and will be the subject of research. String theory says: strings are "out of **nothing**," and they bend, twist into geons-balls, and vibrational modes are then states of elementary particles of matter. This idea of string theory was not **correctly** constructed, because strings are not "out of nothing" but are just **of those 3+3D spatial-time dimensions**. The principle of realization of material elements is curvature, wrapping of dimensions of time and lengths into wavepackets.

dtto

Newton and later Einstein added the gravitational constant G to the equivalence equation F(a) = F(g) ..that is, to the equation: "Curved space-time = G . "mass-mass" . Unfortunately, he also added dimensions to the constant number. Unfortunately, this is wrong. Because he did it only and only for the purpose of making it "fit", i.e. so that the paper equation had the correct dimensional equality. The universe itself did not add any dimensions to the equation for the G-constant. (!) (!) If physicists hat a thought about the fact that dimensions do not belong to the gravitational constant in the real universe, they would have found find that there was is a problem, that something is not fit well working..., they would have to come to the idea to come up with the idea that matter is also constructed-built from the dimensions of the two quantities "Length" and "Time", i.e.; "Curved space-time = **G** . "mass-mass" . How? This is and will be the subject of research. String theory says: strings are "from nothing", "out of nothing," and they bend, twist into geons-balls and vibration modes are then states of elementary particles of matter. String theory says. Even this idea of string theory was not correctly built correctly, because strings are not "from nothing" but are of those 3+3D spatial-time dimensions precisely from those 3+3D space-time dimensions. The essence and truth of the realization of mass elements is bending, wrapping the dimensions of time and lengths into balls, into packages, into wavepackets

…………………………………………………………………………………………………
~~~~~~

General relativity generally says that (free from mathematical formalism): **"curved spacetime = matter-mass"** . The gravitational constant is not in this equation. Why? Because there is no dimensional G-constant in the universe. People just had to add it to the equations on paper to make the dimensional balance fit. →

http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/c/c_354.jpg
http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/c/c_355.jpg
http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/c/c_331.jpg
http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/c/c_325.jpg
http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/c/c_311.jpg
http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/c/c_114.jpg
http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/c/c_107.jpg
http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/c/c_097.jpg
http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/c/c_095.jpg

Who is the author of the gravitational constant? Why did Newton assign dimensions to the gravitational constant? Why didn't Einstein figure out that the gravitational constant shouldn't have dimensions? What physical would be broken if the gravitational constant G did not have dimensions?
~~~~~~

I want to offer physicists that (F(a) = F(g) → "spacetime" = "spacetime"... of course, it will be (n+n)D dimensional spacetime.

Every averagely educated person can imagine spacetime, and also such a spacetime, which can be said to be Euclidean flat, uncurved, totally straight .., and then the opposite extreme "infinitely curved" spacetime - two extremes and...and between them is the state of spacetime of variable curvature of spacetime dimensions, which is called "gravity". (I think that the curvature will be "according to a parabola", I just can't mathematically produce it). This "gravitational" curvature (1) passes into electromagnetic curvature (2), which in turn then into the curvature of weak interaction (3), and that further into the curvature of strong interaction (4)... and the curvature of dimensions 3+3 continues until...until we reach a state called "plasma". ...eventually "boiling vacuum" appears, where pairs of particles are born...and...and we approach infinite curvature with that curvature of space-time dimensions.
So: there is scale of C R U T I N G from zero to infinity – what, right?, curvature of what?, well, dimensions of two basic cosmic quantities "x"-length (has three dimensions) "t"-time (also has three dimensions). Who says it doesn't?? So when one writes "spacetime" = "spacetime", the reader (to whom Hnědkovský does not belong) should realize that on the left side of the equation there can be a small number of dimensions "x" and "t" (3+3) and on the right side of the equation a very high number of dimensions "x" and "t" (n+n) (of course, with

the ability of dimensional equality of dimensions).And that's what mass interactions do!! Thousands of equations of interactions … chemical, biological, nuclear and all possible interactions, for which the human physicist **had to borrow** all the letters in the world. Why? Well, because the human physicist does not know the real stones, from which elementary particles and other complex matter are made. I replaced those interactions, the ‖wonderful notation technique of physicists with hundreds of letters‖, with the letters two ––> "x" and "t"…, which are, of course, the real building blocks of reality itself. The universe is a two-quantity HDV, n-dimensional, where the first 3+3D are **physical dimensions** and higher dimensions are **mathematical dimensions** for the "production of complex matter".
https://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/index.php?nav=e
These states of cp with a high number of dimensions are mass formations, as the Universe itself presents them...(simple, they are elementary particles in two-character notation, they are up to... for baryons, "x" up to the number of **six** dimensions and "t" up to **seven** dimensions... etc. A varied explanation is elsewhere,...) Then conglomerates of these 25 basic particles..., and the multiplication of dimensions is easy.
http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/index.php?nav=ea ;
http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/index.php?nav=c ;
(small summary of the development of my work) →
GENESIS (pyramidal and other genesis) →
http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/g/g_080.pdf ;
http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/eng/eng_009.pdf ;
http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/aa/aa_078.pdf ; variant notation
http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/aa/aa_112.pdf ; unusual question
http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/eng/eng_096.pdf ; variant notation
http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/g/g_041.pdf ; pyramidal genesis
http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/g/g_049.pdf ; genesis of compounding
http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/aa/aa_183.pdf ; alternation of symmetries with asymmetries
http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/c/c_472.jpg ; Feynman doodles
http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/aa/aa_037.pdf ; pyramidal genesis
http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/c/c_310.jpg ; two writing techniques –

………………………………………………………………………………………………

I want to offer physicists that (F(a) = F(g) → "časoprostor" = "časoprostor"... of course, it will be (n+n) dimensional space-time. $\frac{\alpha \cdot x_i^{m} \cdot \beta \cdot t_k^{n}}{\gamma \cdot x_a^{d} \cdot \delta \cdot t_b^{h}} = 1$ of
Every averagely educated person can imagine a space-time, and also such a čp, which can be said to be Euclidean flat, uncurved, totally straight .., and then the opposite extreme "infinitely curved" space-time< - **two extremes** and...and between them is the state of čp of variable curvature of space-time dimensions, which is called "gravity". (I think that the curvature will be "according to a parabola", I just can't mathematically produce it). This "gravitational" curvature (1) passes into electromagnetic curvature (2), which in turn then into the curvature of weak interaction (3), and that further into the curvature of strong interaction (4)... and the curvature of dimensions 3+3 continues until...until we reach a state called "plazma". ...eventually "boiling vacuum" appears, where pairs of particles are born...and...and we approach infinite curvature with that curvature of dimensions np.
So: there is‖ a scale of CURVATURE from zero to infinity‖ – what, right?, well curvature of what?, well, dimensions of two basic cosmic quantities **"x"**-length (has **three** dimensions)

"t" -time (has **three** dimensions too). Who says it doesn't?? So when you write **"spacetime"** = **"spacetime"** the reader (to whom the idiot Hnědkovský does not belong) should realize that on the left side of the equation there can be a small number of dimensions "x" and "t" (3+3) and on the right side of the equation a very high number of dimensions "x" and "t" (n+n) (of course with the ability of dimensional equality of dimensions). And that's what mass interactions do!! Thousands of equations of interactions ... chemical, biological, nuclear and all possible interactions, for which the human physicist **had to borrow** all the letters in the world. (Arabic, Greek, Latin, Cyrillic and other mathematical symbols such as integral, log, d'Alembert sign, etc.)

Why?

Well, because a human physicist does not know the real stones, https://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/c/c_461.jpg of which are elementary particles and other complex matter. I have replaced those interactions, that, beautiful writing technique of physicists with hundreds of letters with **letter two → "x" and "t"**…, which are of course the real *building blocks* of reality itself. The universe is a two-quantity HDV https://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/c/c_455.jpg , n-dimensional, where the first 3+3D are **physical dimensions** and higher dimensions are **mathematical dimensions** for the "production of complex matter". https://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/index.php?nav=e These states of spacetime with a high number of dimensions are mass formations, as the Universe itself presents them... (simple, they are elementary particles in two-character notation, they are up to... for baryons at "x" up to the number of **six** dimensions and "t" up to **seven** dimensions... etc. A varied explanation is elsewhere,..). Then conglomerates of these 25 basic particles .., and the multiplication of dimensions is easy. http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/index.php?nav=ea ; http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/index.php?nav=c ; (small summary of the development of my work) →

GENESIS (pyramidal and other genesis) →

http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/g/g_080.pdf ;
http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/eng/eng_009.pdf ;
http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/aa/aa_078.pdf ; variant notation
http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/aa/aa_112.pdf ; unusual question
http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/eng/eng_096.pdf ; variant notation
http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/g/g_041.pdf ; pyramidal genesis
http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/g/g_049.pdf ; genesis of compounding
http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/aa/aa_183.pdf ; alternating symmetries with asymmetries
http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/c/c_472.jpg ; Feynman doodles
http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/aa/aa_037.pdf ; pyramidal genesis
http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/c/c_310.jpg ; two writing techniques –

……………………………………………………………………………………………

(CZ)

Takže dopis anglicky mluvícím fyzikům :

Kdo vymyslel gravitační konstantu ? Respektive kdo vymyslel přidat ke gravitační konstantě rozměry ? A proč to udělal ? Pokud lidé – fyzikové dodasli ke konstantě "G" rozměry pouze a pouze za účelem rozměrové rovnosti v rovnici F(a) = F(g) , pak je to podvod na Přírodě. Příroda nepřidělila G-konstantě rozměry. Když odeberete G-konstandě rozměry nastane otázka : jak řešit rovnost rozměrů v rovnici "zakřivený časoprostor" = (číslo G) x "hmota-hmotnost" ? V této situaci bude nutno se zamyslet, zda ty struny v strunové teorii, které jsou "z Ničeho" a které v této teorii suplují hmotu-hmotnost, zda ty struny nejsou samy dimenze

3+3 křivého časoprostoru. Pak 26 základních elementů hmoty ve Standardním modelu je postaveno-vyrobeno jako “balíčky” nikoliv ze strun, ale z dimenzí časoprostorových a v General relativity platí : nalevo rovnice málo křivé dimenze časoprostoru = napravo jinak a hodně křivé dimenze časoprostoru-hmota. Tímto odsubstituováním mísmenka “m” používaného za hmotu, se odstraní podvod ten, že lidé dodávají G-konstantě rozměry ač Příroda sama té konstantě “G” nic nedodává.

(A)
So a letter to English-speaking physicists:
Who invented the gravitational constant? Respectively, who invented to add dimensions to the gravitational constant? And why did he do it? If human physicists have added dimensions to the constant "G" solely and only for the purpose of dimensional equality in the equation F (a) = F (g), then it is a deception on Nature. Nature did not assign dimensions to the G-constant. When you remove the G-constant dimensions, the question arises: how to solve the equality of dimensions in the equation "curved space-time" = (number G) x "mass-mass"? In this situation, it will be necessary to consider whether those strings in string theory which are "out of nowhere" and which in this theory replace mass-mass, whether those strings are not themselves dimensions of 3 + 3 curved space-time. Then the 26 basic elements of matter in the Standard Model are built-made as "packages" not from strings, but from the dimensions of space-time and in General relativity: By this substitution of the suffix "m" used for matter, the deception that people give dimensions to the G-constant is eliminated, although Nature itself does not add anything to the "G" constant.

Newton and later Einstein to the equation of equivalence F (a) = F (g) ..they to the equation: „Curved space-time = $\boxed{G}$. "Mass-mass" added the gravitational constant G. Unfortunately, he also added dimensions to the constant number. Unfortunately wrong. Because he did it only and only in order for it to "fit" him, so that there would be the correct dimensional equality in the paper equation. The universe itself did not add any dimensions to the **G**-constant equation. (!) If physicists thought that dimensions do not belong to the gravitational constant in the real universe, they would find that there is a problem that something does not play…, they would have to think that matter is also constructed-built from the dimensions of two quantities “Length ”and“ Time ” ; **„Curved space-time = $\boxed{G}$. "Mass-mass".** How ? This is and will be the subject of research. String theory says: strings are "out of nowhere," and they bend, twist into geons-balls, and vibrational modes are then states of elementary particles of matter. This idea of string theory was not properly constructed, because strings are not "out of nothing" but are just those dimensions of 3 + 3D space-time. The principle of realization of material elements is curvature, wrapping of dimensions of time and lengths into wavepackets →
http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/c/c_395.jpg

…………………………………………………………………………………………………
Who is the author of the gravitational constant? Why did Newton assign dimensions to the gravitational constant? Why didn't Einstein figure out that the gravitational constant shouldn't have dimensions? What physical would be broken if the gravitational constant G did not have dimensions?

http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/c/c_354.jpg
http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/c/c_355.jpg
http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/c/c_331.jpg
http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/c/c_325.jpg
http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/c/c_311.jpg

http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/c/c_114.jpg
http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/c/c_107.jpg
http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/c/c_097.jpg
http://www.hypothesis-of-universe.com/docs/c/c_095.jpg

I want to offer physicists that (F (a) = F (g) → ("time-space")^n = ("time-space")^m

... $\frac{\alpha \cdot x_i^m \cdot \beta \cdot t_k^n}{\gamma \cdot x_a^d \cdot \delta \cdot t_b^h} = 1$ of course, it will be (n + n) D dimensional space-time.

(I selected the "same" texts from several documents)

JN, 11/30/2024